

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/785,098</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MOHR, THOMAS</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner BRIAN D. NGUYEN</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2416</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/785,098	Applicant(s) MOHR, THOMAS	Examiner BRIAN D. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2416
Application No. 10/785,098	Applicant(s) MOHR, THOMAS				
Examiner BRIAN D. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2416				

All Participants:

(1) BRIAN D. NGUYEN.

(2) Kelly G. Hyndman.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Falk Ewers.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
claim 8 will be amended as set forth in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian D Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)